arXiv:1002.4463v1 [math.AC] 24 Feb 2010

ON THE CANONICAL MODULE OF TORIC SURFACES IN $\mathbb{P}^4$

CLARE D'CRUZ

1. INTRODUCTION

Let S be a semigroup ring and let $k[S]$ denote the semigroup ring over a field k. We will assume that $k[S]$ is equidimensional. In this paper we are interested in the Cohen-Macaulayness of the canonical module $\omega_{k[S]}$. If $k[S]$ is Cohen-Macaulay then there is nothing to prove. Hence we will assume that $k[S]$ is not Cohen-Macaulay.

Interest in the canonical module was motivated by a paper of Mumford [Mum] where he showed that for an ample line bundle $\mathcal{L}$ on a normal surface $\mathcal{S}$, $H^1(\mathcal{S}, \mathcal{O}_\mathcal{S} \otimes \mathcal{L}^{-1}) = 0$. He remarks that this is false if $\mathcal{S}$ doesn't satisfy S_2 (*i.e.* is not Cohen-Macaulay) and asks if the S_2 condition is sufficient. The first counter-example was given in [AJ].

The study of the canonical module of toric ideals has been of recent interest. In this paper we show that the canonical module of a toric surface $\mathcal{S}$ in $\mathbb{P}^4$ is Cohen-Macaulay, in other words the S_2-ification of $\mathcal{S}$ always arithmetically Cohen-Macaulay. Hence if $\mathcal{S}$ satisfies S_2, then $H^1(\mathcal{S}, \mathcal{O}_\mathcal{S} \otimes \mathcal{L}^{-1}) = 0$. As a consequence the canonical module $\omega_{k[S]}$ is Cohen-Macaulay.

Of recent is also the question of the Castelnuovo-Mumford regularity. The famous conjecture of Eisenbud and Goto states that for a non-degenerate irreducible closed scheme over an algebraically closed field $\operatorname{reg}(\mathcal{S}) \leq \deg(\mathcal{S}) - \operatorname{codim}(\mathcal{S})$. The Eisenbud-Goto conjecture for the bound on the regularity of toric varieties of codimension two was proved in [PS]. Theorem 1.1(5) that for a toric surface in $\mathbb{P}^4$, any surface linked to a toric surface by a complete intersection ideal, (i.e., it is in the CI-liaison class of a toric surface in $\mathbb{P}^4$) satisfies the Eisenbud-Goto conjecture.

It is well known that the Castelnuovo-Mumford canonical module of a reduced curve is always two. More generally, if the dimension of the ring is at least two then the depth of the canonical module is at least two. Hence it is natural to ask if the canonical module of a surface satisfies S_3, i.e., it is Cohen-Macaulay.

We first show that if S is a standard semi-group ring then $\omega_{k[s]}$ is always Cohen-Macaulay. This does not hold true in general, even for a toric surface in any projective space. For toric surface we prove:

Theorem 1.1. *Let S define a toric surface of in $\mathbb{P}^4$. Let I be the defining ideal of $k[S]$ and J an ideal in the CI-liaison class of I. Then the following hold true*

(1) $R/I \cong \oplus_{n\in\mathbb{Z}} H^0(\mathcal{S}, \mathcal{O}_\mathcal{S}(n))$.
(2) $R/J \cong \oplus_{n\in\mathbb{Z}} H^0((R/J)^\sim, \mathcal{O}_{(R/J)^\sim}(n))$.
(3) $\omega_{R/I}$ *and* $\omega_{R/J}$ *is Cohen-Macaulay.*

2000 *Mathematics Subject Classification.* 13D45, 14M06.
Key words and phrases. Canonical module, Local Cohomology, Linkage.

(4) *The S_2-ification of R/I and R/J are Cohen-Macaulay.*
(5) $reg(R/J) \leq deg(R/J) - \operatorname{codim}(R/J) + 1$.
(6) $\operatorname{reg}(\emptyset_{R/I}) = \operatorname{reg}(\emptyset_{R/J}) = 3$.

We also answer a question of Goto and Watanabe in [GW]. Let S be an affine semi-group ring. If S is S_2 then is $k[S]$ is Cohen-Macaulay? This was shown to be false in [TH]. In this paper we show that if Goto and Watanabe's conjecture holds true if $k[S]$ defines a toric surface in P^4.

Acknowledgement: This work began while the author was visiting Institut de Mathématiques CNRS & Université Paris 6. The author thanks Supported by Ministère de la recherche for financial support and Université Paris 6 for local hospitality. The author also wishes to Marc Chardin who motivated this question and for his encouragement during the preparation of this paper.

2. PRELIMINARIES

The following notations in this section are from [TH].

Notation 2.1. *Let S be an affine semi-group ring in $\mathbb{N}^n$, $n \in \mathbb{N}$.*

(1) *G_S is the additive group generated by S in $\mathbb{Z}^n$.*
(2) *$\overline{S} = \{x \in G : ng \in S \text{ for some } n \geq 0\}$.*
(3) *For $1 \leq i \leq n$, $S_{(i)} = \{x \in G_S : x + y \in S \text{ for all } y \in S \cap F_i\}$ and $S_i = S - S_{(i)}$.*
(4) *$C(S) =$ convex rational polyhedral cone spanned by S in $\mathbb{Q}^n$.*
(5) *$m = \#\{r-1$-dimensional faces of $C(S)\}$.*
(6) *$\pi(S) :=$ the simplicial complex of nonempty subsets J of $[1, m]$ such that $\cap_{i \in J} S \cap F_i \neq (0)$.*
(7) *For any subset $J \subseteq [1, m]$, let $G_J := \cap_{i \notin J} S_i \setminus \cup_{j \in J} S_j$*
(8) *For any subset $J \subseteq [1, m]$, let π_J be the simplicial complex of nonempty subsets I of J such that $\cap_{i \in I} S \cap F_i \neq (0)$.*
(9) *$S' = \cap_{i=1}^n S_i$.*

Definition 2.2. *We say that an affine semi-group is standard if*

(1) *$\overline{S} = G_S \cap \mathbb{N}^n$,*
(2) *Let $1 \leq i, j \leq n$. $S_{(i)} \neq S_{(j)}$ for $i \neq j$.*
(3) *$\operatorname{rank}_{\mathbb{Z}} G_{S_{(i)}} = r - 1$.*

2.3. Hochster Transform [H] *We describe the Hochster transform: Consider the vector space V generated by $S \cup \{0\} \in \mathbb{Q}^n$.*

There exists linear functionals $L_1, \ldots, L_m$ such that $L_i(x) \geq 0$ for all $x \in V$. Then $L := [L_1, \cdots, L_m]^t : \mathbb{Q}^n \longrightarrow \mathbb{Q}^m$. Then $L(V) \subseteq \mathbb{Q}^m$. By multiplying L_i by a suitable positive multiple we can assume that $L(S) \subseteq \mathbb{N}^m$.

Lemma 2.4. *Let S be an affine semi-group ring. Then*

(1) *$L(S) \cong S$;*
(2) *$\overline{L(S)} = G_{L(S)} \cap \mathbb{N}^m$*

(3) $L(S)$ *is a standard affine semigroup ring.*

Definition 2.5. *Let S be a standard affine semi-group in $\mathbb{N}^r$.*

(1) *Let $\pi(S)$ be the simplicial complex of non-empty subsets J of $[1, m]$ with the property that $\cap_{i\in J} S \cap F_i \neq (0)$.*
(2) *Let π_J be the set simplicial complex of non-empty subsets I of J with the property $\cap_{i\in I} S \cap F_i \neq (0)$.*

Let $k[S]$ be a homogeneous semigroup ring which is homomorphic image of a polynomial ring $R = k[x_0, x_1, \ldots, x_n]$.

Definition 2.6. *The canonical module of S is*

$$\omega_S := Ext^c(S, \omega_R)$$

where $c = \operatorname{codim} S = dim\ S - dim\ R$.

3. Main Result

Our main result is the Cohen-Macaulayness of the canonical module of the projective toric variety. Since $k[S']$ is the S_2-ification of S and $\omega_s \cong \omega_{S'}$, it is enough to show that $k[S']$ is Cohen-Macaulay. We state two results of Trung and Hoa which is describes the cohomology groups of $k[S']$.

Theorem 3.1. [TH, Corollary 3.7] *For all $i < r$,*

$$H^i(k[S']) \cong \bigoplus_{J\notin\pi(S);\#J\leq m-2} k[G_J] \otimes_k \tilde{H}_{i-2}(\pi_J; k)$$

Lemma 3.2. [TH, Corollary 3.4] *Let S be a semi-group in $\mathbb{N}^r$ of rank r. Then*

$$H^1(k[S']) = 0.$$

In view of Lemma 3.2, it is clear that the $k[S']$ is Cohen-Macaulay if and only if $H^i(\omega_{k[S']}) = 0$ for $\dim\ k[S] \geq i \geq 2$. Hence if S defines a toric surface in $\mathbb{P}^4$, then $k[S']$ is Cohen-Macaulay if and only $H^2(\omega_{k[S']}) = 0$.

Lemma 3.3. *Let S be a standard semi-group in $\mathbb{N}^r$ of rank r. Then $k[S']$ is Cohen-Macaulay.*

Proof. Applying Lemma 3.2, we only need to show that $H^i(k[S']) = 0$ for all $2 \leq i \leq r-1$. After applying the Hochster Transform we can assume that

$$\pi(S) = \{\phi, \cup_{i=1}^r \{i\}, \cup_{1\leq i<j\leq r}\{ij\} \cdots \cup \cup_{i=1}^r \{1 \ldots \hat{i} \cdots n\}\}.$$

Hence

$$\{J \notin \pi(S); \#J \leq r-2\} = \phi.$$

Now applying Theorem 3.1 we get $H^i(k[S']) = 0$ for $1 = 2, \ldots, r-1$. □

Lemma 3.4. *Let S be an affine semigroup in $\mathbb{N}^3$. Assume that $m = 3$. Then $k[S']$ is Cohen-Macaulay.*

Proof. If $m = 3$, then by applying the Hochster transform we can assume that S is a standard semigroup ring of rank 3 in $\mathbb{N}^3$. Hence by Lemma 3.3, $k[S']$ is Cohen-Macaulay. □

Lemma 3.5. *Let S be an affine semigroup in $\mathbb{N}^3$. Assume that $m = 4$. Then $k[S']$ is Cohen-Macaulay.*

Proof. Applying the Hochster transform we can assume that our semigroup has generators are in $\mathbb{N}^4$ and are of the form

$$\begin{aligned} s_1 &= [0 \quad s_{12} \quad s_{13} \quad 0] \\ s_2 &= [0 \quad 0 \quad s_{23} \quad s_{24}] \\ s_3 &= [s_{31} \quad 0 \quad 0 \quad s_{34}] \\ s_4 &= [s_{41} \quad s_{42} \quad 0 \quad 0] \\ s_5 &= [s_{51} \quad s_{52} \quad s_{53} \quad s_{54}] \end{aligned}$$

where s_5 is in the convex hull of the cone generated by s_1, s_2, s_3 and s_4. Hence we can express

$$s_5 = q_1 s_1 + q_2 s_2 + q_3 s_3 + q_4 s_4$$

where $q_i \in Q$ and $q_i \geq 0$ with $q_1 + q_2 + q_3 + q_4 = 1$. Without loss of generality we can assume that any s_1, s_2 and s_3 are lineraly dependent.

We have

$$\begin{aligned} \pi(S) &= \{\phi, \{1\}, \{2\}, \{3\}, \{4\}, \{12\}, \{14\}, \{23\}, \{34\}\} \\ J &= \{13\}, \{24\}. \end{aligned}$$

We apply Theorem 3.1. It is enough show that $G_J = \emptyset$ for $J = \{13\}$ as the proof for $J = \{24\}$ is similar.

Now

$$G_{13} = (S_2 \cap S_4) \backslash (S_1 \cup S_3).$$

Let $x \in S_2 \cap S_4$. Then we can express x in two different ways:

(1) $$x = n_1 s_1 + (n_2 - n_2')s_2 + (n_3 - n_3')s_3 + n_4 s_4 + n_5 s_5 \qquad \text{as } x \in S_2$$

(2) $$= (m_1 - m_1')s_1 + m_2 s_2 + m_3 s_3 + (m_4 - m_4')s_4 + m_5 s_5 \text{ as } x \in S_4.$$

If $(n_2 - n_2') \geq 0$, then $x \in S_3$. If $(n_3 - n_3') \geq 0$, then $x \in S_1$. If both are negative then since $x \in S_4$ we consider (2). Once again, if $m_1 - m_1' \geq 0$, then $x \in S_3$, otherwise if $m_4 - m_4' \geq 0$, then $x \in S_1$. Therefore if atleast one of the four integers $n_2 - n_2'$, $n_3 - n_3'$, $m_1 - m_1'$ and $m_4 - m_4'$ is non-negative, we are done.

Claim 1: At least one of the four integers $n_2 - n_2'$, $n_3 - n_3'$, $m_1 - m_1'$ and $m_4 - m_4'$ is non-negative.

Suppose not, i.e., all of them are negative. We express x component-wise. From (1) and (2) we get

(3) $$(n_3 - n_3')s_{31} + n_4 s_{41} + n_5 s_{51} = m_3 s_{31} + (m_4 - m_4')s_{41} + m_5 s_{51}$$

(4) $$n_1 s_{12} + n_4 s_{42} + n_5 s_{52} = (m_1 - m_1')s_{12} + (m_4 - m_4')s_{42} + m_5 s_{52}$$

(5) $$n_1 s_{13} + (n_2 - n_2')s_{23} + n_5 s_{53} = (m_1 - m_1')s_{13} + n_2 s_{23} + m_5 s_{53}$$

(6) $$(n_2 - n_2')s_{24} + (n_3 - n_3')s_{34} + n_5 s_{54} = m_2 s_{24} + m_3 s_{34} + m_5 s_{54}.$$

From (4) and (6) we have:

(7) $$(m_1 - m_1' - n_1)s_{12} + (m_4 - m_4' - n_4)s_{42} = (n_5 - m_5)s_{52};$$

(8) $$(n_2 - n_2' - m_2)s_{24} + (n_3 - n_3' - m_3)s_{34} = (m_5 - n_5)s_{54}; \qquad .$$

Since all the four integers $m_1 - m_1' - n_1$, $m_4 - m_4' - n_4$, $n_2 - n_2' - m_2$ and $n_3 - n_3' - m_3$ are negative, we conclude from (7)that $(n_5 - m_5)s_{52} \leq 0$ and from (8) that $(m_5 - n_5)s_{54} \leq 0$.

Claim 2: $(n_5 - m_5)s_{52} \neq 0$: If $(n_5 - m_5)s_{52} = 0$, then since $m_1 - m_1' - n_1$ and $m_4 - m_4' - n_4$ are negative, we have $s_{12} = s_{42} = 0$ and consequently $s_{52} = q_1 s_{12} + q_4 s_{42} = 0$. This implies that $m \leq 3$ which leads to a contradiction.

Claim 3: $(m_5 - n_5)s_{54} \neq 0$. If $(m_5 - n_5)s_{54} = 0$, then since $n_2 - n_2' - m_2$ and $n_3 - n_3' - m_3$ are negative, we have $s_{24} = s_{34} = 0$ and consequently $s_{54} = q_2 s_{24} + q_3 s_{34} = 0$. This implies that $m \leq 3$ which leads to a contradiction.

Claim 2 implies that $n_5 < m_5$ and Claim 3 implies that $m_5 < n_5$ which is not possible. This proves Claim 1.

Hence $S_2 \cap S_4 \subseteq S_1 \cup S_3$, and $G_{13} = (S_2 \cap S_4)\backslash(S_1 \cup S_3) = \emptyset$. This implies that $k[G_{13}] = 0$. Similarly we can show that $k[G_{24}] = 0$. □

Lemma 3.6. *Let S be a standard affine semigroup in $\mathbb{N}^3$. Let $m = 5$. Then $k[S']$ is Cohen-Macaulay.*

Proof. Applying the Hochster transform we can assume that our semigroup is in $\mathbb{Z}^5$ and has generators

$$\begin{array}{rcl} s_1 & = & [0 \quad 0 \quad s_{13} \quad s_{14} \quad s_{15} \quad] \\ s_2 & = & [s_{21} \quad 0 \quad 0 \quad s_{24} \quad s_{25} \quad] \\ s_3 & = & [s_{31} \quad s_{32} \quad 0 \quad 0 \quad s_{35} \quad] \\ s_4 & = & [s_{41} \quad s_{42} \quad s_{43} \quad 0 \quad 0 \quad] \\ s_5 & = & [0 \quad s_{52} \quad s_{53} \quad s_{54} \quad 0 \quad] \quad . \end{array}$$

We may assume that s_1, s_2, s_3 are linearly independent and that

$$\begin{array}{rcl} s_4 & = & a_1 s_1 + a_2 s_2 + a_3 s_3 \\ s_5 & = & b_1 s_1 + b_2 s_2 + b_3 s_3 \end{array}$$

where $a_i, b_i \in \mathbb{Q}$. Now

$$\begin{array}{rcl} \pi(S) & = & \{J \subseteq [1,5] : \cap_{i \in J} S \cap F_i \neq (0)\} \\ & = & \{\emptyset, \{1\}, \{2\}, \{3\}, \{4\}, \{5\}, \{12\}, \{15\}, \{23\}, \{34\}, \{45\}\} \\ \{J \notin \pi(S)\} & = & \{\{13\}, \{14\}, \{24\}, \{25\}, \{35\}, \{123\}, \{124\}, \{125\}, \{134\}, \{135\}, \{145\}, \{234\}, \{235\}, \{345\}\}. \end{array}$$

We will show that $G_{13} = \emptyset$. The proof is similar for all $J \in \{J | \in \pi(S) \text{ and } \#J = 2\}$.

If $\#J = 3$, for example let $J = 135$, then

$$G_{135} = (S_2 \cap S_4)\backslash(S_1 \cup S_3 \cup S_5).$$

Since $x \in S_2 \cap S_4$, following the proof of $G_{13} = \emptyset$, we can show that $x \in S_1 \cup S_3 \subseteq S_1 \cup S_3 \cup S_5$. Similarly one can show that $G_J = \emptyset$ for all $J \in \{J | \in \pi(S) \text{ and } \#J = 3\}$.

Consider G_{13}.

$$G_{13} = (S_2 \cap S_4 \cap S_5)\backslash(S_1 \cup S_3).$$

Let $x \in S_2 \cap S_4 \cap S_5$, then $x \in S_2 \cap S_4$. Hence we can write

$$x = (n_1 - n_1')s_1 + (n_2 - n_2')s_2 + n_3 s_3 + n_4 s_4 + n_5 s_5 \qquad \text{as } x \in S_2 \tag{9}$$

$$= m_1 s_1 + m_2 s_2 + (m_3 - m_3')s_3 + (m_4 - m_4')s_4 + m_5 s_5 \text{ as } x \in S_4. \tag{10}$$

Writing x componentwise, from (9) and (10)

$$(n_2 - n_2')s_{21} + n_3 s_{31} + n_4 s_{41} = m_2 s_{21} + (m_3 - m_3')s_{31} + (m_4 - m_4')s_{41} \tag{11}$$

$$n_3 s_{32} + n_4 s_{42} + n_5 s_{52} = (m_3 - m_3')s_{32} + (m_4 - m_4')s_{42} + m_5 s_{52} \tag{12}$$

$$(n_1 - n_1')s_{13} + n_4 s_{43} + n_5 s_{53} = m_1 s_{13} + (m_4 - m_4')s_{43} + m_5 s_{53} \tag{13}$$

$$(n_1 - n_1')s_{14} + (n_2 - n_2')s_{24} + n_5 s_{54} = m_1 s_{14} + m_2 s_{24} + m_5 s_{54} \tag{14}$$

$$(n_1 - n_1')s_{15} + (n_2 - n_2')s_{25} + n_3 s_{35} = m_1 s_{15} + m_2 s_{25} + (m_3 - m_3')s_{35} \tag{15}$$

Claim 1: All the for integers $n_1 - n_1'$, $n_2 - n_2'$, $m_3 - m_3'$, $m_4 - m_4'$ cannot be negative.

If all of them are negative, then from (12) and (14) we get

$$(m_3 - m_3' - n_3)s_{32} + (m_4 - m_4' - n_4)s_{42} = (n_5 - m_5)s_{52}; \tag{16}$$

$$(n_1 - n_1' - m_1)s_{14} + (n_2 - n_2' - m_2)s_{24} = (m_5 - n_5)s_{54}. \tag{17}$$

By our assumption all the integers $m_3 - m_3' - n_3$, $m_4 - m_4' - n_4$, $n_1 - n_1' - m_1$ and $n_2 - n_2' - m_2$ are all negative.

Claim 2: $s_{52} \neq 0$.: If $s_{52} = 0$, then since $m_3 - m_3' - n_3 < 0$ and $m_4 - m_4' - n_4 < 0$, we have $s_{32} = s_{42} = 0$. This implies that $m = 4$.

Claim 3: $s_{54} \neq 0$. If $s_{54} = 0$, then from (6), $s_{14} = s_{24} = 0$. This implies that $m = 4$.

Claim 2 implies that $n_5 - m_5 < 0$ while Claim 3 implies that $m_5 - n_5 < 0$. This leads to a contradiction and proves Claim 1.

If $n_1 - n_1' \geq 0$, then from (9) we have $x \in S_3$. If $n_2 - n_2' \geq 0$, then once again from (9) we have $x \in S_1$. If $m_4 - m_4' \geq 0$, then we have $x \in S_3$.

Claim 4: The following is not possible: $n_1 < n_1'$, $n_2 < n_2'$, $m_3 \geq m_3'$ and $m_4 < m_4'$.

Suppose not. From (15) we have:

$$(n_1 - n_1' - m_1)s_{15} + (n_2 - n_2' - m_2)s_{25} = (m_3 - m_3' - n_3)s_{35}. \tag{18}$$

Since $n_1 - n_1' - m_1 < 0$ and $n_2 - n_2' - m_2 < 0$, we have $m_3 - m_3' - n_3 \leq 0$.

Claim 5 $s_{35} \neq 0$.

If not, then, then $s_{15} = s_{25} = 0$. This implies that $m = 4$. This proves Claim 5.

Hence $m_3 - m_3' - n_3 < 0$. From (16) we have

$$(m_3 - m_3' - n_3)s_{32} + (m_4 - m_4' - n_4)s_{42} = (n_5 - m_5)s_{52} \tag{19}$$

where $m_3 - m_3' - n_3 < 0$ $m_4 - m_4' - n_4 < 0$. Using the arguement in Claim 5 we have $n_5 < m_5$. From (17) we have $m_5 < n_5$. This leads to a contradiction. This proves Claim 6. □

4. APPLICATIONS

We would like to list some interesting applications.

Theorem 4.1. *Let S be either a standard semigroup or let S define a toric surface $\mathcal{S}$ in $\mathbb{P}^4$. Then*

(1) *$k[S']$ is Cohen-Macaulay;*
(2) *$\emptyset_S$ is Cohen-Macaulay.*

Proof. For a standard semi-group ring (1) has been proved in Lemma 3.3. For a toric surface in $\mathbb{P}^4$, (1) follows from Lemma 3.2, Lemma 3.3, Lemma 3.5 and Lemma 3.6.

Since $\emptyset_{k[S]} = \emptyset_{k[S']}$ and $\emptyset_{k[S']}$ is Cohen-Macaulay, $\emptyset_{k[S]}$ is Cohen-Macaulay. □

Theorem 4.2. *Let S be a semi-group ring which defines a toric surface $\mathcal{S}$ in $\mathbb{P}^4$. Then the Castelnuovo-Mumford regularity of $\emptyset_S$ is 3.*

Proof. Since S is a domain, S is reduced and consequently S' is reduced. Therefore $H^0(\mathcal{S}', \mathcal{O}_{\mathcal{S}'}(-n) = 0$ for $n > 0$ and $H^0(\mathcal{S}', \mathcal{O}_{\mathcal{S}'}) \neq 0$. Also since S' is Cohen-Macaulay, $H^1_{\mathfrak{m}}(\mathcal{S}', \mathcal{O}_{\mathcal{S}'}) = 0$. Now $H^3_{\mathfrak{m}}(\emptyset_S)_n = H^0(\mathcal{S}', \mathcal{O}_{\mathcal{S}'}(-n)$. Hence $\operatorname{reg}(\emptyset_S) = 0 + 3 = 3$. □

Lemma 4.3. *Let V be a toric variety in $\mathbb{P}^n$ of dimension at least two and codimension two. Then the depth of its coordinate ring $S = R/I_V$ is at least $n - 2$.*

Proof. This follows from [PS, Theorem 2.3], that the $\operatorname{projdim}\ S \leq 2^{\operatorname{codim}(I)} - 1 = 2^2 - 3$. Hence by the Auslander Buchsbaum formula, $\operatorname{depth}\ S \geq (n+1) - 3 = n - 2$. □

Lemma 4.4. *Let V be a toric variety in $\mathbb{P}^n$ $(n \geq 4)$ of codimension two. Then $H^i(S_{\mathfrak{m}}) = 0$ for $i = 0, 1$ and hence $S \cong \oplus_\mu H^0(\mathcal{S}, \mathcal{O}_{\mathcal{S}}(\mu))$.*

Proof. Follows from Lemma 4.3. □

Proof of Theorem 1.1: By Lemma 4.3, $H^0_{\mathfrak{m}}(R/I) = H^1_{\mathfrak{m}}(R/I) = 0$. Hence (1) follows.

It is enough to prove (2), (3), (5) and (6) for an ideal J linked to I by a complete intersection ideal as it will follow for any ideal J in the CI-liaison class.

Now, let I and J be linked by a complete intesection ideal $I_{\mathcal{C}}$. Then we have the short exact sequence

$$0 \longrightarrow \emptyset_{R/I} \longrightarrow R/I_{\mathcal{C}} \longrightarrow R/J \longrightarrow 0 \tag{20}$$

Since $H^i(R/I_{\mathcal{C}}) = 0$ for $i \leq 2$, we have for $i = 0, 1$

$$H^i(R/J) \cong H^{i+1}(\emptyset_{R/I}) = 0$$

as $\emptyset_{R/I}$ is Cohen-Macaulay. This proves (2).

Interchanging I and J in (20), we have the long exact sequence of cohomology modules and for $i = 1, 2$

$$H^i(R/I) \cong H^{i+1}(\emptyset_{R/J}) = 0 \qquad \text{[from (1)]}.$$

Since $H^1(R/I_{\mathcal{C}}) = 0$, $H^1(\emptyset_{R/J}) = 0$. Hence $H^i(\emptyset_{R/J}) = 0$ for all $i \leq 2$. This proves (3).

The Cohen-Macaulayness of the S_2-ification of R/I has been proved in Section 3. Since $\emptyset_{R/J}$ is Cohen-Macaulay and the S_2-ification of R/J is $\emptyset_{\emptyset_{R/J}}$ which is Cohen-Macaulay. This proves (4).

$$0 \longrightarrow H^2_{\mathfrak{m}}(R/J) \longrightarrow H^3_{\mathfrak{m}}(R/\emptyset_{R/I}) \longrightarrow H^3_{\mathfrak{m}}(R/I_{\mathcal{C}}) \longrightarrow H^3_{\mathfrak{m}}(R/J) \longrightarrow 0$$

Since $R/I_{\mathcal{C}}$ is a complete intersection ideal and $\emptyset_{R/I}$ is Cohen-Macaulay, we have $H^0_{\mathfrak{m}}(R/I) = H^1_{\mathfrak{m}}(R/I) = 0$. Hence (2) follows.

We have already shown that $\emptyset_{R/I}$ is Cohen-Macaulay. To show that $\emptyset_{R/J}$ is Cohen-Macaulay interchange the role of I and I in the exact sequence (20) and use (2). The S_2-ification of R/J is $\emptyset_{\emptyset_{R/J}}$ which is Cohen-Macaulay since $\emptyset_{R/J}$. Hence (4) follows.

It remains to prove (5). By [PS, Theorem 7.3]

$$\begin{aligned} reg(R/I) &\leq deg(R/I) - \operatorname{codim}(R/I) + 1 \\ &< deg(R/I_{\mathcal{C}}) - \operatorname{codim}(R/I) + 1 \\ &= d_1 + d_2 - 2 + 1 \\ &= d_1 + d_2 - 1. \end{aligned}$$

From the exact sequence

$$0 \longrightarrow \emptyset_{R/J}(5 - d_1 - d_2) \longrightarrow R/I_{\mathcal{C}} \longrightarrow R/I \longrightarrow 0$$

This gives rise to the long exact sequence

$$0 \longrightarrow H^2_{\mathfrak{m}}(R/I) \longrightarrow H^3_{\mathfrak{m}}(\emptyset_{R/J})(5 - d_1 - d_2) \longrightarrow H^3_{\mathfrak{m}}(R/I_{\mathcal{C}}) \longrightarrow H^3_{\mathfrak{m}}(R/I) \longrightarrow 0.$$

We get

$$reg(\emptyset_{R/J}) \leq d_1 + d_2 - 2 - d_1 - d_2 + 5 = 3.$$

□

We now give a positive answer to a question of Goto and Watanabe for toric surfaces in $\mathbb{P}^4$.

Theorem 4.5. *Let S be a semigroup ring which defines a toric surface in $\mathbb{P}^4$. Then $S = S'$ if and only if $k[S]$ is Cohen-Macaulay.*

Proof. If $S = S'$, then $k[S] = k[S']$ and $k[S']$ is Cohen-Macaulay by Theorem 4.1. Conversly if $k[S]$ is Cohen-Maculay, then $k[S]_{\mathfrak{m}}$ is Cohen-Macaulay for every maximal ideal $\mathfrak{m}$ of R and $End(\emptyset_{k[S]_{\mathfrak{m}}}) \cong k[S]_{\mathfrak{m}} = k[S']_{\mathfrak{m}}$. Hence $k[S] = k[S']$. □

Remark 4.6. *From the proof in this paper it is clear that the main result does not hold true for higher dimensional semigroup ring or even for semigroup rings of codimension two if the dimension of the ambient space is more than 4. So the natural question is: Can one characterize all codimension two semigroup rings whose canonical module is Cohen-Macaulay.*

REFERENCES

[AJ] D. Arapura, D. Jaffe; *On Kodaira vanishing for singular varieties*. Proc. Amer. Math. Soc. **105** (1989), 911–916.

[BS] M. Brodmann, R. Sharp, *Local cohomology: an algebraic introduction with geometric applications.* Cambridge Stud. in Adv. Math. **60**. Cambridge University Press, Cambridge, 1998.

[CD] M. Chardin, C. D'Cruz *Examples and counter-examples on the behaviour of depth and Castelnuovo-Mumford regularity.* J. Algebra **270** (2003), no. 1, 347–360.

[GW] S. Goto; K. Watanabe; *On graded rings. II. (Z^n-graded rings).* Tokyo J. Math. **1** (1978), no. 2, 237–261.

[H] Hochster, M.: *Rings of invariants of tori, Cohen-Macaulay rings generated by monomials, and polytopes*. Ann. of Math. (2) **96** (1972), 318–337.

[Mum] D. Mumford, *Pathologies III.* Amer. J. Math. 89 (1967), 94–104.

[PS] I. Peeva, B. Strumfels *Syzygies of codimension* 2 *lattice ideals* Math. Z. **229** (1998) 163 - 194.

[S] P. Schenzel, *On birational Macaulayfications and Cohen-Macaulay canonical modules* J. Algebra. **275** (2004) 751-770.

[TH] N. V. Trung, L. T. Hoa *Affine semigroups and Cohen-Macaulay rings generated by monomials.* Trans. Amer. Math. Soc. **298** (1986), no. 1, 145–167.

CHENNAI MATHEMATICAL INSTITUTE, PLOT H1, SIPCOT IT PARK PADUR PO, SIRUSERI 603103, INDIA

E-mail address: clare@cmi.ac.in